	Case 1:23-cv-00789-JLT-SKO Documer	nt 11 Filed 10/16/23 Page 1 of 3
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	JESSE MORENO,	No. 1:23-cv-00789-JLT-SKO (HC)
12	Petitioner,	ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS
13	v.	(Doc. 10)
14		ORDER DENYING PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS AND DIRECTING
15	KATHLEEN ALLISON,	CLERK OF COURT TO ENTER JUDGMENT AND CLOSE CASE
16	Respondent.	ORDER DECLINING TO ISSUE
17		CERTIFICATE OF APPEALABILITY
18	Jesse Moreno is a state prisoner proced	eding <i>pro se</i> and <i>in forma pauperis</i> with a petition
19	for writ of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. This matter was referred to a United	
20	States Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.	
21	On August 30, 2023, the assigned magistrate judge issued Findings and	
22 23	Recommendations to deny the petition with prejudice on its merits. (Doc. 10.) The Court served	
23 24	the Findings and Recommendations on all parties and notified them that any objections thereto	
25	were to be filed within 30 days after service and that the "failure to file objections within the	
26	specified time may waive the right to appeal the Order of the District Court." (<i>Id.</i> at 24, citing	
27	Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).) The parties did not file objections, and the	
28	deadline to do so expired.	
		1

Case 1:23-cv-00789-JLT-SKO Document 11 Filed 10/16/23 Page 2 of 3

1	According to 28 U.S.C. § 636 (b)(1)(C), the Court conducted a <i>de novo</i> review of the case	
2	Having carefully reviewed the entire file, the Court concludes the Findings and	
3	Recommendations are supported by the record and proper analysis.	
4	In addition, the Court declines to issue a certificate of appealability. A state prisoner	
5	seeking a writ of habeas corpus has no absolute entitlement to appeal a district court's denial of	
6	his petition, and an appeal is only allowed in certain circumstances. Miller-El v. Cockrell, 537	
7	U.S. 322, 335-336 (2003). The controlling statute in determining whether to issue a certificate of	
8	appealability is 28 U.S.C. § 2253, which provides as follows:	
9	(a) In a habeas corpus proceeding of a proceeding ander section 2233 before a	
10	district judge, the final order shall be subject to review, on appeal, by the court of appeals for the circuit in which the proceeding is held.	
11	(b) There shall be no right of appear from a final order in a proceeding to test	
12	the validity of such person's detention pending removal proceedings.	
13		
14	(c) (1) Unless a circuit justice or judge issues a certificate of appealability, an appeal may not be taken to the court of appeals from—	
15 16	(A) the final order in a habeas corpus proceeding in which the detention complained of arises out of process issued by a State court; or	
17	(B) the final order in a proceeding under section 2255.	
18	applicant has made a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional	
19		
20	(3) The certificate of appealability under paragraph (1) shall indicate which	
21	specific issue or issues satisfy the showing required by paragraph (2).	
22	If a court denies a petitioner's petition, the court may only issue a certificate of	
23	appealability when a petitioner makes a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right.	
24	28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2). To make a substantial showing, the petitioner must establish that	
25	"reasonable jurists could debate whether (or, for that matter, agree that) the petition should have	
26	been resolved in a different manner or that the issues presented were 'adequate to deserve	

encouragement to proceed further." Slack v. McDaniel, 529 U.S. 473, 484 (2000) (quoting

Barefoot v. Estelle, 463 U.S. 880, 893 (1983)).

27

28

Case 1:23-cv-00789-JLT-SKO Document 11 Filed 10/16/23 Page 3 of 3

In the present case, the Court finds Petitioner did not make the required substantial
showing of the denial of a constitutional right to justify the issuance of a certificate of
appealability. Reasonable jurists would not find the Court's determination that Petitioner is not
entitled to federal habeas corpus relief debatable, wrong, or deserving of encouragement to
proceed further. Thus, the Court declines to issue a certificate of appealability. Accordingly, the
Court ORDERS:

- The Findings and Recommendations issued on August 30, 2023 (Doc. 10) are
 ADOPTED in full.
- 2. The petition for writ of habeas corpus is **DENIED** with prejudice.
- 3. The Clerk of Court is directed to enter judgment and close the case.
- 4. The Court declines to issue a certificate of appealability.

This order terminates the action in its entirety.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

15 Dated

Dated: **October 16, 2023**

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE